DOMjudge Jury Manual

This document provides information about DOMjudge aimed at a jury member operating the system during the contest: viewing and checking submissions and working with clarification requests. A separate manual is available for teams and administrators. Document version: $Rev: 3082 $

Contents

1 DOMjudge Overview

DOMjudge is a system for running a programming contest, like the ACM ICPC regional and world championship programming contests.

This means that teams are on-site and have a fixed time period (mostly 5 hours) and one computer to solve a number of problems (mostly 6-10). Problems are solved by writing a program in one of the allowed languages, that reads input according to the problem input specification and writes the correct, corresponding output.

The judging is done by submitting the source code of the solution to the jury. There the jury system automatically compiles and runs the program and compares the program output with the expected output.

This software can be used to handle the submission and judging during such contests. It also handles feedback to the teams and communication on problems (clarification requests). It has web-interfaces for the jury, the teams (their submissions and clarification requests) and the public (scoreboard).

1.1 Features

A global overview of the features that DOMjudge provides:

- Automatic judging with distributed (scalable) judge hosts

- Web-interface for portability and simplicity

- Modular system for plugging in languages/compilers

- Detailed jury information (submissions, judgings) and options (rejudge, clarifications)

- Designed with security in mind

- Has been used in many live contests

- Open Source, Free Software

1.2 Copyright and licencing

DOMjudge was developed by Thijs Kinkhorst, Peter van de Werken and Jaap Eldering at Study Association A-Eskwadraat , Utrecht University , The Netherlands.

It is Copyright (c) 2004 - 2010 by The DOMjudge Developers.

DOMjudge, including its documentation, is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the *GNU General Public License* <http://www.gnu.org/copyleft/gpl.html> as published by the Free Software Foundation; either version 2, or (at your option) any later version. See the file COPYING.

Additionally, parts of this system are based on other programs, which are covered by other copyrights. See the file README for details.

1.3 Contact

The DOMjudge homepage can be found at: http://domjudge.sourceforge.net/

We have a low volume mailing list for announcements of new releases.

The authors can be reached at the following address: domjudge-devel@lists.a-eskwadraat.nl

2 General

The jury interface is accessed through a web browser. The main page shows a list of various overviews, and the most important of those are also included in the menu bar at the top. The menu bar will refresh occasionally to allow for new information to be presented. It also has the current 'official' contest time in the top-right corner.

Most pieces of information are clickable and bring up a new page with details. Many items also have tooltips that reveal extra information when the mouse is hovered over them. Problem, language and team pages have lists with corresponding submissions for that problem, language or team. Tables can be sorted by clicking on the column headers.

The most important pages are 'Submissions': the list of submitted solutions made by teams, sorted by newest first, and 'Scoreboard': the canonical overview of current standings.

2.1 Judges and Administrators

The DOMjudge system discerns between *judges* and *administrators* (admins). An administrator is responsible for the technical side of DOMjudge: installation and keeping it running. The jury web interface may be used by both.

Depending on configuration, there may either be a separate administrator view or one is shared between judges and administrators. In the first case you will not have access to the admin-specific options. In the latter, you may see options directed at admins, like options to edit or delete data. Only use these options if you're sure that it's correct to do so.

2.2 Scoreboard

The scoreboard is the most important view on the contest.

The scoreboard will display an upcoming contest from a given 'activatetime'; only at the first second of the real start of the contest it will show the problems however.

It is possible to freeze the scoreboard at a given time, commonly one hour before the contest ends, to keep the last hour interesting for all. From that time on, the public and team scoreboard will not be updated anymore (the jury scoreboard will) and indicate that they are frozen. It will be unfrozen at a specified time, or by a button click in the jury interface. Note that the way freezing works, a submission from before the freeze and judged after may still update the scoreboard even when frozen.

The problem headings can display the colours of balloons associated with them, when set.

Nearly everything on the scoreboard can be clicked to reveal more detailed information about the item in question: team names, specific submissions and problem headers.

3 Before the contest

Before the contest starts, a number of things will need to be configured by the administrator. You can check that information, such as the problem set(s), test data and time limits, contest start- and end time, the time at which the scoreboard will be frozen and unfrozen, all from the links from the front page.

Note that multiple contests can be defined, with corresponding problem sets, for example a practice session and the real contest.

3.1 Problems and languages

The problem sets are listed under 'Problems'. It is possible to change whether teams can submit solutions for that problem (using the toggle switch 'allow submit'). If disallowed, submissions for that problem will be rejected, but more importantly, teams will not see that problem on the scoreboard. Disallow judge will make DOMjudge accept submissions, but leave them queued; this is useful in case an unexpected problem shows up with one of the problems. Timelimit is the maximum number of seconds a submission for this problem is allowed to run before a 'TIMELIMIT' response is given (multiplied by the language factor).

The 'Languages' overview is quite the same. It has a timefactor column; submissions in a language that has time factor 2 will be allowed to run twice the time that has been specified under Problems. This can be used to compensate for the execution speed of a language, e.g. Java.

3.2 Verifying testdata

DOMjudge comes with some small tools to check for mistakes in the testdata. These tools are all located in the `misc-tools` directory in the source tree.

checkinput checkinput.awk fixinput.awk

> The 'checkinput' programs are meant to check testdata input (and optionally also output). They check for simple layout issues like leading and trailing whitespace, non-printable characters, etc. There's both a C program and AWK script which do essentially the same thing. See 'checkinput.c' for details. All scripts take a testdata file as argument. The 'fixinput.awk' script corrects some of these problems.

checktestdata

> This program can be used as a more advanced replacement of checkinput. It allows you to not only check on simple (spacing) layout errors, but a simple grammar file must be specified for the testdata, according to which the testdata is checked. This allows e.g. for bounds checking. See 'checktestdata.cpp' for a grammar specification. Two sample scripts 'checktestdata.{hello,fltcmp}' are provided for the sample problems 'hello' and 'fltcmp'.

> This program can also be useful as a syntax checker for a special compare script: it can easily handle the tedious task of verifying that a team's submission output is syntactically valid, leaving just the task of semantic validation to another program.

3.3 Testing jury solutions

Before a contest, you will want to have tested your reference solutions on the system to see whether those are judged as expected and maybe use their runtimes to set timelimits for the problems. There is no special

method to test such solutions; the easiest way is to submit these as a special team before the contest. This requires some special care and coordination with the contest administrator. See the administrator's manual for more details.

3.4 Practice Session

If your contest has a test session or practice contest, use it also as a general rehearsal of the jury system: judge test submissions as you would do during the real contest and answer incoming clarification requests.

4 During the contest

4.1 Monitor teams

Under the Teams menu option, you can get a general impression of the status of each team: a traffic light will show either of the following:

gray

the team has not (yet) connected to the web interface at all;

red

the team has connected but not submitted anything yet;

yellow

one or more submissions have been made, but none correct;

green

the team has made at least one submission that has been judged as correct.

This is especially useful during the practice session, where it is expected that every team can make at least one correct submission. A team with any other colour than green near the end of the session might be having difficulties.

4.2 Judging Submissions

4.2.1 Flow of submitted solutions

The flow of an incoming submission is as follows.

1. Team submits solution. It will either be rejected after basic checks, or accepted and stored as a *submission*.

2. The first available *judgehost* compiles, runs and checks the submission. The outcome and outputs are stored as a *judging* of this submission.

3. If verification is not required, the result is automatically recorded and the team can view the result and the scoreboard is updated (unless after the scoreboard freeze). A judge can optionally inspect the submission and judging and mark it verified.

4. If verification is required, a judge inspects the judging. Only after it has been approved (marked as *verified*) will the result be visible outside the jury interface.

4.2.2 Submission judging status codes

The interface for jury and teams shows the status of a submission with a code.

QUEUED/PENDING

submission received and awaiting a judgehost to process it *;

JUDGING

a judgehost is currently compiling/running/testing the submission *;

TOO-LATE

submission received but submitted after the contest ended;

CORRECT

submission correct, problem solved;

COMPILER-ERROR

the compiler gave an error while compiling the program;

TIMELIMIT

program execution time exceeded the time defined for the problem;

RUN-ERROR

a kind of problem while running the program occurred, for example segmentation fault, division by zero or exitcode unequal to 0;

NO-OUTPUT

there was no output at all from the program;

WRONG-ANSWER

the output of the program did not exactly match the expected output;

PRESENTATION-ERROR

the submission only had presentation errors; e.g. difference in whitespace with the reference output **.

* in the team interface a submission will only show as PENDING to prevent leaking information of problem time limits. The jury can see whether a submission is QUEUED or JUDGING. In case of required verification, a submission will show as PENDING to the team until the judging has been verified.

** This is disabled by default and must be enabled explicitly by the DOMjudge administrator. It will be counted as an incorrect submission.

Under the Submissions menu, you can see submitted solutions, with the newest one at the top. Click on a submission id or result code for more details about the submission (team name, submittime etc), a list of judgings and the details for the most recent judging (runtime, outputs, diff with testdata). There is also a switch available between newest 50, only unverified or all submissions.

Under the submission details the source filename can be clicked to inspect the source code. If the team has submitted code in the same language for this problem before, a diff output between the current and previous submission is also available there.

A submission can have multiple judgings, but only one valid judging at any time. Multiple judgings occur when rejudging, see 4.2.3 (Rejudging).

4.2.3   Rejudging

In some situations it is necessary to rejudge a submission. This means that the submission will re-enter the flow as if it had not been judged before. The submittime will be the original time, but the program will be compiled, run and tested again.

This can be useful when there was some kind of problem: a compiler that was broken and later fixed, or testdata that was incorrect and later changed. When a submission is rejudged, the old judging data is kept but marked as 'invalid'.

You can rejudge a single submission by pressing the 'Rejudge' button when viewing the submission details. It is also possible to rejudge all submissions for a given language, problem, team or judgehost; to do so, go to the page of the respective language, problem, team or judgehost, press the 'Rejudge all' button and confirm.

Submissions that have been marked as 'CORRECT' will not be rejudged. Only DOMjudge admins can override this restriction for individual submissions.

Teams will not get explicit notifications of rejudgings, other than a potentially changed outcome of their submissions. It might be desirable to combine rejudging with a clarification to the team or all teams explaining what has been rejudged and why.

4.3 Clarification Requests

Communication between teams and jury happens through Clarification Requests. Everything related to that is handled under the Clarifications menu item.

Teams can use their web interface to send a clarification request to the jury. The jury can send a response to that team specifically, or send it to all teams. The latter is done to ensure that all teams have the same information about the problem set. The jury can also send a clarification that does not correspond to a specific request. These will be termed 'general clarifications'.

Under Clarifications, three lists are shown: new clarifications, answered clarifications and general clarifications. It lists the team login, the time and an excerpt. Click the excerpt for details about that clarification request.

Every incoming clarification request will initially be marked as unanswered. The menu bar shows the number of unanswered requests. A request will be marked as answered when a response has been sent. Additionally it's possible to mark a clarification request as answered with the button that can be found when viewing the request. The latter can be used when the request has been dealt with in some other way, for example by sending a general message to all teams.

An answer to a clarification request is made by putting the text in the input box under the request text. The original text is quoted. You can choose to either send it to the team that requested the clarification, or to all teams. In the latter case, make sure you phrase it in such a way that the message is self-contained, since the other teams cannot view the original question.

The menu on every page of the jury interface will mention the number of unanswered clarification requests: "(1 new)". This number is automatically updated, even without reloading the page.

5   After the contest

Once the contest is over, the system will still accept submissions but will not judge them anymore. Teams will see this as a 'TOO-LATE' response.

If the scoreboard was frozen, it will remain frozen until the time set as unfreeze time, as seen under Contests. It is possible to publish the final standings at any given moment by pressing the 'unfreeze scoreboard now' button under contests.

There's not much more to be done after the contest has ended. The administrator will need to take care of backing up all system data and submissions, and the awards ceremony can start.